

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/806,401	10/22/2001	Harry Hedler	KSN0012	8725	
75	90 09/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Eric J Groen			GRAYBILL, DAVID E		
Baker & Daniel	s				
Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
205 West Jefferson Boulevard			2822		
South Bend, IN	46601				

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$-\infty$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/806,401	HEDLER ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E Graybill	2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this applice (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repich places the application.	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The department of the date for purposes of determining the period of exterminity of the control of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Avisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SIX MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 are on and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate ex tee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).			
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to		or the appear.	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below):	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		(See NOTE below),	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application	,	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or	in bottor form for appear by mat	ionally roudoning or c	mipmying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>:</b>		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied by a point of the drawing correction filed on is a)	proved or b)☐ disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet		11 2 2	
		David E Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2822	M

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 10. Other: The remarks have been cursorily considered but they do not place the application into condition for allowance because they do not appear to overcome the rejections.